PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From 1 NTEF	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	RITY		REC'D 16 JUN 2003		
To:			20/09.	PCMPO PCT	j	
			, - ·			
	see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITT	EN OPINION OF THE	,	
			INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
			(P	CT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
			Date of mailing		\neg	
			(day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	cant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
see form PCT/ISA/220			See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. International filing da PCT/EP2005/002889 17.03.2005			day/month/year)	Priority date (daylmonthlyear) 18.03.2004		
Interi	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC					
C08	C08J3/00, C08J3/20, C08K3/02, C08K3/04, C08L79/02, H01L51/30					
	icant					
ORI	MECON GMBH					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
ļ	⊠ Box No. I Basis of the op	oinion	,			
	☐ Box No. II Priority					
ĺ	☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	l	
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of					
İ	Box No. V Reasoned star applicability; c	tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
ļ	☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	nents cited			-	
 	☐ Box No. VII Certain defect	s in the international ap	plication		1	
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internatio	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply whe the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided ab submit to the IPEA a written rep months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	ly together where anni	coriate with amendme	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further options, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.	·			
з.	For further details, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
		·		<u> </u>		
Nar	ne and malling address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		_	

Kiebooms, R

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7816

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002889

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la (u	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ander Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. for	nat of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3	1	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4	4. Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002889

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-25

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US 2004/021131 A1 (BLANCHET-FINCHER GRACIELA BEATRIZ ET AL) 5 February 2004 (2004-02-05)
 - D2: WO 02/074534 A (EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY) 26 September 2002 (2002-09-26)
 - D3: WO 89/02155 A (ZIPPERLING KESSLER & CO) 9 March 1989 (1989-03-09)
 - D4: US-A-4 959 180 (ARMES ET AL) 25 September 1990 (1990-09-25)
 - D5: KIEBOOMS R ET AL: "SYNTHESIS, ELECTRICAL, AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF CONJUGATED POLYMERS" HANDBOOK OF ADVANCED ELECTRONIC AND PHOTONIC MATERIALS AND DEVICES, vol. 8, 2001, pages 1-102, XP001029240
 - D6: P.NOVAK, K.MŪLLER, K.S.V.SANTHANAM, O.HAAS: "Electrochemically active polymers for rechargeable batteries" CHEMICAL REVIEWS, vol. 97, 1997, pages 207-281, XP002330853
 - D7: WO 2004/029133 A (E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 8 April 2004 (2004-04-08)
 - D8: WO 2004/029128 A (E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 8 April 2004 (2004-04-08)
- 2. The term "ambient conditions" in claim 13 is vague vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim 13 unclear. The Applicant should clarify what is to be understood by the term "ambient conditions".
- 3. The subject-matter of claims 1-25 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.1 D1 (examples 22-34; claims 1-8) discloses mixtures of colloidal polyaniline and carbon nanotubes, as well as their use in electronic applications (D1, claims 13-15).

- 3.2 D2 (claims 13,15; page 7, line 11 page 8, line 5; page 11, lines 15-17) discloses mixtures of polyaniline and carbon black. The materials are used as electrostatic dissipators.
- 3.3 D3 (example 12) discloses a mixture of polyaniline dispersion and carbon black.
- 4. Since the Applicant has not provided information concerning the contribution to the existing state of the art of eventual remaining novel subject-matter, inventive step of such subject-matter cannot be accepted. The subject-matter of claims 1-25 is thus considered as an obvious alternative of the subject-matter disclosed in documents D1-D4.

The present application therefore cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).